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## Learning Objectives

- Measures of disease occurrence: use population data to describe health and disease.
- Key concepts -- explain the meaning of:
- data types and terminology
- prevalence
- incidence (warning: multiple types)
- relative risk (RR)
- odds ratio (OR)
- Implementation: prevalence, incidence, RR, and OR from study data ( $2 \times 2$ tables!).


## Why Do I Care?

- Why use these tools (prevalence, incidence, RR, OR)?
- inform differential diagnoses \& counsel patients (your job)
- design public health interventions \& direct new diagnostics/therapies (society!)
- understand distributions and determinants of diseases (science!)


# ... What Do I Need to Know for the Test? 

- Why use these tools (prevalence, incidence, RR, OR)? inform differential diagnoses \& counsel patients (your job) design public health interventions \& direct new diagnostics/therapies (society!) understand distributions and determinants of diseases (science!)
- How to use these tools:
- precise definitions (e.g., RR vs OR, cumulative incidence vs incidence density)
- a bit of arithmetic
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## Data Types

- Dichotomous: binary 0 / 1 , true / false
- the focus of most methods in this course (e.g., pneumonia, MI)
- Continuous: real values, infinite scale
- discussed in diagnostics lecture (e.g., age, height)
- Nominal: discrete data
- categories without intrinsic order (e.g., state)
- Ordinal: discrete data
- categories with intrinsic order (e.g., age category)


## How to Characterize Continuous Data

- Pair a measure of central tendency with a measure of dispersion:
- mean and SD (both affected by outliers)
- median and IQR
- In doing so, account for uncertainty in measures.


## Characterize Continuous Data: Central Tendency

- Mean ( $\mu$ ):

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}
$$

- Median: middle value, when values ordered / ranked
- Mode: most frequently occurring value
(you do NOT need to memorize these formulas)


## Characterize Continuous Data: Variation/Spread

- Standard deviation (SD or $\sigma$ ):

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} * \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}
$$

- Interquartile range (IQR) depends on ranking the values:
- the first quartile is the "middle" value of the first half of the ordered set
- the third quartile is the "middle" value of the second half of the ordered set
- IQR is the range of values between first and third quartiles
(you do NOT need to memorize these formulas)


## Describing Continuous Data

- "Normally distributed": data well characterized by mean and standard deviation (SD).
- "Non-parametric" data have a mean and standard deviation, but these parameters do NOT characterize the data well...


## "Parametric" Continuous Data

Height (cm) from a Population Survey of US Citizens
7,481 subjects with age $>=18$ years


CDC National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

## "Non-Parametric" Continuous Data: Skewed



CDC National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

## "Non-Parametric" Continuous Data: Uniform

Age (years) from a Population Survey of US Citizens


CDC National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

## Describing Continuous Data

- "Normally distributed": data well characterized by mean and standard deviation (SD).
- "Non-parametric" data have a mean and standard deviation, but these parameters do NOT characterize the data well:
- skewed data: distribution not symmetric around a central value
- uniform data: distributed with equal probability of each value
- For "non-parametric" data, we prefer to use median and interquartile range (IQR) to describe the distribution of a continuous variable because these are less affected by extreme values.
- You can always calculate mean and SD from continuous data. But these parameters might not represent the data well.


## Dichotomizing Continuous Data

- Using a threshold to transform continuous data into dichotomous data means losing information about uncertainty.
- This is on top of the fundamental uncertainty we face with any epidemiologic measure: does the measured population represent the population of interest?
- See the diagnosis lecture: for example, threshold of oxygen saturation (or $\beta$-D glucan) to diagnose Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP) means trade-off between sensitivity and specificity.


## Dichotomania

- As we discuss prevalence, incidence, RR, and OR, we focus on dichotomous exposures and outcomes.
- Remember -- with dichotomous data:
- information about uncertainty is lost
- misclassification is a risk
- But we're doing it anyway $\cdot$... why?
- Medicine focuses on dichotomous diagnostic and treatment decisions.
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## Case from 1981...

- 36-year-old man presents with a 4-month history of fever, dyspnea, and cough.


## HIV Pandemic: MMWR June 5, 1981

## 1981

June 5: The U.S. Center for Disease Control (CDC) publishes an article in its Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR): Pneumocystis Pneumonia-Los Angeles. The article describes cases of a rare lung infection, Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia(PCP), in five young, white, previously healthy gay men in Los Angeles. Los Angeles immunologist Dr. Michael Gottlieb, CDC's Dr. Wayne Shandera, and their colleagues report that all the men have other unusual infections as well, indicating that their immune systems are not working. Two have already died by the time the report is published and the others will die soon after. This edition of the MMWR marks the first official reporting of what will later become known as the AIDS (Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome) epidemic.

## HIV Pandemic: MMWR June 5, 1981

All the above observations suggest the possibility of a cellular-immune dysfunction related to a common exposure that predisposes individuals to opportunistic infections such as pneumocystosis and candidiasis. Although the role of CMV infection in the pathogenesis of pneumocystosis remains unknown, the possibility of $P$. carinii infection must be carefully considered in a differential diagnosis for previously healthy homosexual males with dyspnea and pneumonia.

- Gottlieb MS et al MMWR 1981


## HIV Pandemic: Stigma \& Discrimination

- Socially vulnerable groups often at higher infection risk:
- HIV, COVID-19
- how we name infectious diseases has exacerbated stigma
- bad epidemiology exacerbates stigma
- Prejudice is used to gain political power:
- homophobia in the 1980s
- anti-Asian racism in the 2020s


## Radiolab
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## COVID-19 Pandemic: Stigma \& Discrimination

- As we proceed in course, think about causal versus proxy variables. For example:
- Race is not a proxy for biology.
- Race exerts large effects on health: structural racism \& direct / interpersonal racism
- High-risk work, access to care, and social networks are some ways social determinants and racism impact health.

Racial/ethnic and neighbourhood social vulnerability disparities in COVID-19 testing positivity,

The Lancet Regional Health - Americas 2022;10: 100220 2022 https $/ /$ doi.org/ 10.1016 ana.2022.100220 hospital system in Pennsylvania: A prospective study of electronic health records

Racial Disproportionality in Covid Clinical Trials
Daniel B. Chastain, Pharm.D., Sharmon P. Osae, Pharm.D., Andrés F. Henao-Martínez, M.D.,
Carlos Franco-Paredes, M.D., M.P.H., Joeanna S. Chastain, Pharm.D., and Henry N. Young, Ph.D.

Combating Anti-Asian Sentiment - A Practical Guide for Clinicians
James H. Lee, M.D.

[^0]
## Case from 1981... Pneumocystis pneumonia?

- 36-year-old man presents with a 4-month history of fever, dyspnea, and cough.
- What is his diagnosis? Does he have Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP)?
- As you collect data (history, vital signs, physical exam, laboratory test values, radiology results), you are making inferences about the diagnosis based on associations between your findings and disease states.


## Case from 1981... Pneumocystis pneumonia?

- Differential diagnosis must be grounded in understanding:
- distributions of disease: we'll learn about prevalence \& incidence
- determinants of disease: we'll learn $2 \times 2$ tables to relate exposures and outcomes
- PCP is a fungal pneumonia caused by Pneumocystis jirovecii (formerly Pneumocystis carini), which causes dyspnea, hypoxemia, and nonproductive cough, and eventually cystic lung changes.
- In 1981, Pneumocystis was known to be a low prevalence disease.
- New data would show an increasing incidence.


## Case from 1981... Pneumocystis pneumonia?

- Does our patient have Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP)?
- How is the distribution of Pneumocystis pneumonia changing?
- What are the determinants of Pneumocystis pneumonia?

Note: questions depend on dichotomous definition of disease (yes/no Pneumocystis)
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## Prevalence

- How common is Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP)?
- Prevalence:
- number with the disease / number in specified population
- point prevalence: at a specific point in time
- period prevalence: during a given period (e.g., 12-month prevalence)
- a proportion (unitless, ranges from 0-1)
- numerator includes all people who have the disease, both new and ongoing cases
- represents a cross-sectional "snapshot" of the population


## Prevalence of Pneumocystis pneumonia

- In 1967, CDC became the sole supplier of pentamidine in the United States and began collecting data on cases of PCP:
- period prevalence published in 1974*: 579 cases ( 194 confirmed) over 3 years
- what's the denominator?
- what's the prevalence?
- Point prevalence of Pneumocystis would be vanishingly small given limited duration of disease.
- From 1967-1974, even the period prevalence was very small.
[*] Walzer PD et al Annals Int Med 1974


## Point Prevalence versus Period Prevalence

- To understand the difference between point and period prevalence, let's imagine a cohort of people living with HIV/AIDS at risk for Pneumocystis:
- 50 high-risk subjects enrolled at the start of a two-year observation period
- cases of PCP each receive 3 weeks of antibiotic treatment (red on plot)
- incomplete follow-up (grey on plot)
- some subjects started on Pneumocystis prophylaxis (blue on plot)


## Cohort at Risk for Pneumocystis
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## Cohort at Risk for Pneumocystis



## Cohort at Risk: Point Prevalence



## Cohort at Risk: Period Prevalence



## Prevalence

- Prevalence is NOT the same as risk.
- Prevalence numerator includes all people who have the disease, both new and ongoing cases, so represents a cross-sectional "snapshot" of the population.
- Prevalence does NOT estimate the risk of developing the disease because prevalence does NOT fully account for time (are the measured cases old cases or new cases?).
- Prevalence can provide a useful snapshot of the burden of disease.


## Reflection Question

How can an infection have high prevalence if it occurs infrequently?

- (A) the infection is rapidly fatal
- (B) the infection rapidly resolves
- (C) a few children get the infection every year, but the infection persists for the rest of their lives
- (D) the infection results in lifelong protective immunity
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## Case from 1981... Pneumocystis pneumonia?

The New England Journal of Medicine

${ }^{\circ}$ Copyright, 1981, by he Massschusets Medical Society

PNEUMOCYSTIS CARINII PNEUMONIA AND MUCOSAL CANDIDIASIS in previously healthy homosexual men
Evidence of a New Acquired Cellular Immunodeficiency
Michael S. Gottuzb, M.D., Rohert Schroff, Ph.D., Howard M. Schanker, M.D. Joel. D. Weisman, D.O., Peng Thim Fan, M.D., Robert A. Wolf, M.D., and Andrew Saxon, M.D. an outbreak of community-acQuired pneumocystis carinil pneumonia Initial Manifestation of Cellular Immune Dysfunction
Henry Masur, M.D., Mary Ann Michells, M.D., Jeffrey B. Greene, M.D., Ida Onorato, M.D., Robert A. Vande Stouwe, M.D., Ph.D., Robert S. Holzman, M.D., Gary Wormser, M.D., lee Brettman, M.D., Michael Lange, M.D., Henry W. Murray, M.D.,
and Susanna Cunningham-Rundles, Ph.D.

- new Pneumocystis cases ( $\mathrm{NYC}^{1}$ ): 13 cases over 21 months
- new Pneumocystis cases (LA ${ }^{2}$ ): 5 cases over 7 months
- concurrent opportunistic infections:
- CMV
- Candida
- Kaposi's sarcoma


## Incidence

- Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP) is occurring more frequently...
- Incidence: occurrence of new cases over a given period of time.
- cumulative incidence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { cumulative incidence }= \frac{n e w ~ c a s e s}{\text { persons at risk }} \\
& \text { at start time interval }
\end{aligned}
$$

- incidence density: (more precise)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { incidence density }=\frac{\text { new cases }}{\text { person time }} \\
\text { at risk }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Cumulative Incidence

- Cumulative incidence:
- must specify population consisting of at-risk individuals
- must specify a time period of observation
- numerator $=$ all new cases during a specified time period
- denominator $=$ all individuals at risk in the specified population at the start of the specified time period (does NOT account for deaths due to other causes)
- ranges from 0 to 1 (a.k.a., "incidence proportion")
- like prevalence, is a proportion and therefore has no units (but only makes sense if you specify the time period of observation, e.g., \% per year)


## Incidence Density

- Incidence density:
- in a specified population consisting of at risk individuals over a specified period of observation, more precisely quantifies the person-time at risk
- numerator $=$ all new cases during a specified time period
- denominator $=$ the sum, over all individuals in the population, of time at risk until the event of interest, death, loss to follow-up, the end of the study, or when they are no longer at risk for whatever reason
- not a proportion; range depends on the units of person-time ( 0 to infinity)
- accounts for death from other causes!


## Incidence: Which Denominator?

- To understand the difference between cumulative incidence and incidence density, let's return to our imagined study of people living with HIV/AIDS at risk for Pneumocystis:
- 50 high-risk subjects enrolled at the start of a two-year observation period
- cases of PCP each receive 3 weeks of antibiotic treatment (red on plot)
- incomplete follow-up (grey on plot)
- some subjects started on Pneumocystis prophylaxis (blue on plot)


## Cohort at Risk for Pneumocystis
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## Cohort at Risk for Pneumocystis



## Cohort at Risk: Cumulative Incidence (at risk at start)



## Cohort at Risk: Incidence Density (person-time at risk)



## Incidence: Which Denominator?

- Cumulative incidence of Pneumocystis versus incidence density:
- if the end of the grey line is death / loss to follow-up, how does incidence density compare to annual cumulative incidence?
- if you don't count time on prophylaxis or treatment antibiotics as "time at risk", how does the incidence density compare to the annual cumulative incidence?


## Notes on Population at Risk

- In a population, individuals are at risk of disease if they:
- (1) do not have the disease at baseline
- (2) are capable of developing the disease (e.g., have the organ of interest; have not been successfully immunized against the disease; haven't developed lifelong immunity)
- The difference between cumulative incidence and incidence density is that the latter attempts a more precise quantification of population at risk -- it's harder to evaluate, but more informative if you can.


## Notes on Person-Time

- To improve precision of risk estimate (incidence density.), we measure:
- population at risk of outcome
- actual time at risk (during which outcome could occur)
- Person-time:
- denominator for incidence density
- the product of population at risk and time at risk (e.g., patient-months)


## Beware the Phrase "Incidence Rate"!

- "Incidence rate" is used to mean two different things:
- number new cases / number persons at risk @ start (short) time interval (e.g., "annual incidence rate" to mean cumulative incidence over one year)
- number new cases / person-time at risk (i.e., incidence density, the precise rate)


## Reflection Question

Your patient with HIV is considering starting prophylactic antibiotics for PCP. You have PCP prevalence, cumulative incidence, and incidence density data available. Which data provide the most precise information on the patient's risk of PCP off of prophylaxis?

- (A) prevalence
- (B) cumulative incidence
- (C) incidence density


## Can You Tell Prevalence from Incidence?



## Can You Tell Prevalence from Incidence?

- HIV in Rakai, Uganda 1994-2003*:
- intensive "ABC" intervention (Abstinence, Be faithful, Condoms)
- prevalence declined...
- incidence remained constant at $1.5 \%$ per year!
- what happened?
[*] Wawer M et al CROI 2005; Roehr B BMJ 2005
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## Basics of Study Types

- We want to understand the relationship between risk factors (exposures) and disease (outcomes). Move beyond risk in whole population -- what's risk conditional on particular risk factors?
- To calculate incidence need to know how many are in a population:
- randomized trials: pick the population, randomize, control the treatment, and measure the outcome
- cohort studies: pick the population, divide into preselected exposure (treatment or risk factor) groups, and measure the outcome
- But do NOT know this in case control studies: pick the cases and control groups, then measure rates of exposure (do NOT know size of population at risk).



## 2×2 Table

- Dichotomous exposures and outcomes.
- Examine relationships between exposures and outcomes.
- Goal: inference about larger world.



## 2×2 Table

- Dichotomous exposures and outcomes.
- Examine relationships between exposures and outcomes.
- Goal: inference about larger world.



## 2×2 Table

- Dichotomous exposures and outcomes.
- Examine relationships between exposures and outcomes.
- Goal: inference about larger world.
- Is study RCT/cohort or case-control?
- Can always calculate a relative risk (RR). from $2 \times 2$ table but only appropriate for RCT/cohort
- Can always calculate an odds ratio (OR). from $2 \times 2$ table but only appropriate for case-control study.
(can do better with RR if RCT/cohort)
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## $2 \times 2$ Table: Calculate Relative Risk for Cohort/Trial

- Relative Risk (RR): risk (incidence) exposed / risk (incidence) unexposed:

$$
R R=\frac{\frac{A}{(A+B)}}{\frac{C}{(C+D)}}
$$

- Risk difference (RD): risk (incidence) exposed - risk (incidence) unexposed:

$$
R D=\frac{A}{(A+B)}-\frac{C}{(C+D)}
$$

Outcome
$+$


## PCP ~ AIDS: Relative Risk (RR)

- Imagine a cohort study examining incidence (risk) for Pneumocystis among patients with or without an Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS):
- Relative Risk (RR):

$$
R R=\frac{\frac{10}{(10+10)}}{\frac{5}{(5+15)}}=\frac{0.5}{0.25}=2
$$

- Risk difference (RD):


$$
R D=\frac{10}{(10+10)}-\frac{5}{(5+15)}=0.25
$$

## 2x2 Table: Calculate NNT for Cohort/Trial

- Risk difference (RD): risk (incidence) exposed - risk (incidence) unexposed:

$$
R D=\frac{A}{(A+B)}-\frac{C}{(C+D)}
$$

- Number needed to treat (NNT): given RD between exposures, how many exposure switches needed to change one outcome:

$$
N N T=\frac{1}{R D}=\frac{1}{\frac{A}{(A+B)}-\frac{C}{(C+D)}}
$$



## PCP ~ AIDS: Number Needed to Treat

- Cohort study examining incidence (risk) for Pneumocystis among patients with or without AIDS:
- Risk difference (RD):

$$
R D=\frac{10}{(10+10)}-\frac{5}{(5+15)}=0.25
$$

- Number needed to treat (NNT): given RD between exposures, how many exposure switches needed to change one outcome:

$$
N N T=\frac{1}{R D}=\frac{1}{\frac{10}{(10+10)}-\frac{5}{(5+15)}}=\frac{1}{0.25}=4
$$



## AR vs RD vs NNT

- absolute risk (AR): risk of developing disease over a period of time (incidence!)
- if 1 in 10 chance of developing skin cancer in your lifetime, $A R=10 \%$
- risk difference (RD): difference in risk between treatment/exposure and control
- 3 in 10 cured with treatment vs 2 in 10 with control, RD $=3 / 10-2 / 10=10 \%$
- number needed to treat (NNT): number treated for one person to benefit
- NNT = 1/RD
- from RD numbers above, NNT = 1/RD = 1/0.1 = 10 (treat 10 people to cure 1 more)


## 2x2 Table: Calculate Odds Ratio for Case-Control Study

- Denominators are deceptive in case-control study because determined by investigator.
- Impossible to measure true risk/incidence. Instead, measure exposure odds in cases \& controls.
- Odds Ratio (OR): odds exposure in cases / odds exposure in controls:

$$
O R=\frac{\frac{A}{C}}{\frac{B}{D}}=\frac{A * D}{B * C}
$$



## PCP Cases vs Controls: Odds Ratio (OR)

- Imagine a different study: 100 Pneumocystis cases and 100 controls; measure whether 'AIDS' (exposure) differs between groups chosen by investigator.
- Odds Ratio (OR): odds exposure in cases / odds exposure in controls:

$$
O R=\frac{\frac{90}{10}}{\frac{50}{50}}=\frac{90 * 50}{50 * 10}=9
$$

## Utility of Odds Ratio (OR) \& Case-Control Study Design

- Because ratio of cases and controls determined by investigator, not valid to calculate incidence of outcome (AR or RR, RD, NNT), but if outcome incidence is low, then $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} \sim \mathrm{B}$ \& C + D ~ D:

$$
R R=\frac{\frac{A}{(A+B)}}{\frac{C}{(C+D)}} \sim \frac{\frac{A}{B}}{\frac{C}{D}}=\frac{A * D}{B * C}=\frac{\frac{A}{C}}{\frac{B}{D}}=O R
$$

- OR approximates RR if outcome occurs infrequently (<15\%). Though OR is fundamentally different from RR, we use it as an approximation of RR. If outcome is more common, OR \& RR differ increasingly.
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## Measures of Disease in Clinical Epidemiology

- Distribution of data determines how we describe them: mean + SD vs median + IQR.
- Prevalence is determined by incidence and survival time.
- Incidence density best accounts for time at risk for disease.
- Relative risk (RR) is the ratio of incidence in exposed over incidence in unexposed. Odds ratio (OR) is the ratio of exposure odds in cases over exposure odds in controls.
- OR approximates RR when outcome is rare.
- NNT can be a clinically useful number.


Wickramasekaran et al Mycoses 2017

## Questions?
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